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## Quadratic Effect

Consider the case where the analysis model (which we assume to be true) is

$$
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x+\beta_{2} x^{2}+\ldots
$$

i.e., $y$ has a quadratic relationship with $x$, and $x$ is incomplete.


The original data show a curved pattern.

## Quadratic Effect

The model used to impute $x$ when using MICE (naively) is

$$
x=\theta_{10}+\theta_{11} y+\ldots
$$

i.e., a linear relation between $x$ and $y$ is assumed.


The imputed values distort the curved pattern of the original data.

## Quadratic Effect

The model fitted on the imputed data gives severely biased results; the non-linear shape of the curve has almost completely disappeared.


## Interaction Effect

Another example: consider the analysis model (again, assumed to be true)

$$
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{x} x+\beta_{z} z+\beta_{\mathbf{x z}} \mathbf{x z}+\ldots
$$

i.e., $y$ has a non-linear relationship with $x$ due to the interaction term.


The original data shows a " $<$ " shaped pattern.

## Interaction Effect

The model used to impute $x$ when using MICE (naively) is

$$
x=\theta_{10}+\theta_{11} y+\theta_{12} z+\ldots,
$$

i.e., a linear relation between $x$ and $y$ is assumed.


The " $<$ " shaped pattern of the true data is distorted by the imputed values.

## Interaction Effect

And the analysis on these naively imputed values leads to severely biased estimates.


X

## Longitudinal Outcome


( $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}$ are baseline covariates, i.e., not measured repeatedly, e.g. age at baseline, gender, education level, ...)

## Longitudinal Outcome

For data in long format:

- each row would be regarded as independent
- $\Rightarrow$ bias and inconsistent imputations

Imputed values of baseline covariates are imputed with different values, creating data that could not have been observed.

| ID | $y$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | girl | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 0.87 |
| 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | boy | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 2.41 |
| 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | girl | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 4.47 |
| 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | girl | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 6.06 |
| 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | _girl | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 8.96 |
|  |  | , | $\checkmark$ | mid | 38.8 | 3.00 |
| 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | high | 39.9 | 4.83 |
| 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | mid | 40.1 | 6.45 |
| 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | low | 39.7 | 8.08 |
| - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | high | -40.7 | 2.51 |
| 3 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | low | 40.4 | 4.10 |
| 3 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | mid | 39.7 | 6.85 |
| 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | boy | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $2 . \overline{1}$ |
| 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | boy | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 4.68 |
| 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | girl | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 6.48 |

## Longitudinal Outcome



Estimates can be severely biased.

## Longitudinal Outcome

In some settings imputation in wide format may be possible.


| ID | y | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 0.87 |
| 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 2.41 |
| 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 4.47 |
| 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 6.06 |
| 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 8.96 |
| 2 | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | - $\mathrm{NA}^{-}$ | NA | З3.00 ${ }^{-}$ |
| 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | NA | 4.83 |
| 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | NA | 6.45 |
| 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | NA | 8.08 |
| 3 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | NA | 2.51 |
| 3 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | NA | 4.10 |
| 3 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | NA | 6.85 |
| 4 | $\checkmark \checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - NA | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | '2. $\overline{2} 1$ |
| 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 4.68 |
| 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 6.48 |

## Longitudinal Outcome

In some settings imputation in wide format may be possible.


| ID | y | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 0.87 |
| 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 2.41 |
| 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 4.47 |
| 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 6.06 |
| 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 8.96 |
| 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | NA | З3.00 |
| 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | NA | 4.83 |
| 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | NA | 6.45 |
| 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | NA | 8.08 |
| 3 | , | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | NA | 2.51 |
| 3 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | NA | 4.10 |
| 3 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | NA | 6.85 |
| 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - NA | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\overline{2} . \overline{1} \overline{1}$ |
| 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 4.68 |
| 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | NA | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 6.48 |

## Longitudinal Outcome

| id | y.l | time.1 | y.3 | time.3 | y.5 | time.5 | y.7 | time.7 | y.9 | time.9 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 31.6 | 0.9 | 31.8 | 2.4 | 31.7 | 4.5 | 31.5 | 6.1 | 32.5 | 9 | $\ldots$ |
| 2 | NA | NA | 36.2 | 3 | 36.1 | 4.8 | 36.1 | 6.5 | 36.6 | 8.1 | $\ldots$ |
| 3 | NA | NA | 29.8 | 2.5 | 29.8 | 4.1 | 30 | 6.8 | NA | NA | $\ldots$ |
| 4 | NA | NA | 36.1 | 2.2 | 35.9 | 4.7 | 36.3 | 6.5 | NA | NA | $\ldots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ |

## In wide format:

- missing values in outcome and measurement times need to be imputed
(to be able to use them as predictors to impute covariates)
- inefficient! (we would not need to impute them for the analysis)


## Longitudinal Outcome



## Longitudinal Outcome



Very unbalanced data: transformation to wide format not possible.
(Or requires summary
measures)

## Longitudinal Outcome



Naive approaches that are sometimes used are to

- ignore the outcome in the imputation


## Longitudinal Outcome



Naive approaches that are sometimes used are to

- ignore the outcome in the imputation, or to
- use only the first/baseline outcome


## Longitudinal Outcome




Naive approaches that are sometimes used are to

- ignore the outcome in the imputation, or to
- use only the first/baseline outcome
$\Rightarrow$ Important information may be lost!
$\Rightarrow$ invalid imputations and biased results


## Survival Data

Cox proportional hazards model

$$
h(t)=h_{0}(t) \exp \left(x \beta_{x}+z \beta_{z}\right),
$$

- $h(t)$ : hazard = the instantaneous risk of an event at time $t$, given that the event has not occurred until time $t$
- $h_{0}(t)$ : unspecified baseline hazard
- $x$ and $z$ : incomplete and complete covariates, respectively


## Survival Data

Cox proportional hazards model

$$
h(t)=h_{0}(t) \exp \left(x \beta_{x}+z \beta_{z}\right)
$$

- $h(t)$ : hazard = the instantaneous risk of an event at time $t$, given that the event has not occurred until time $t$
- $h_{0}(t)$ : unspecified baseline hazard
- $x$ and $z$ : incomplete and complete covariates, respectively

Survival outcome representation:

- observed event time $T$
- event indicator $D(D=1$ : event, $D=0$ : censored).


## Survival Data

## Naive use of MICE

- $T$ and $D$ are treated just like any other variable.
- The resulting imputation model for $X$ would have the form

$$
p(x \mid T, D, \mathbf{z})=\theta_{0}+\theta_{1} T+\theta_{2} D+\theta_{3} z+\ldots
$$

## Survival Data

## Naive use of MICE

- $T$ and $D$ are treated just like any other variable.
- The resulting imputation model for $X$ would have the form

$$
p(x \mid T, D, \mathbf{z})=\theta_{0}+\theta_{1} T+\theta_{2} D+\theta_{3} z+\ldots
$$

The correct conditional distribution of $x$ given the other variables is, however,

$$
\log p(x \mid T, D, z)=\log p(x \mid z)+D\left(\beta_{x} x+\beta_{z} z\right)-H_{0}(T) \exp \left(\beta_{x} x+\beta_{z} z\right)+\text { const., }
$$

where $H_{0}(T)$ is the cumulative baseline hazard. (White \& Royston, 2009)

## Survival Data

Using the naively assumed imputation model can lead to severe bias:

(Results from MICE imputation with two incomplete normal and one incomplete binary covariate.)
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