# **Biostatistics I: Linear Regression**

### Interactions

### **Nicole S. Erler**

Department of Biostatistics, Erasmus Medical Center

∑n.erler@erasmusmc.nl

♥ @N\_Erler



### The Multiple Linear Regression Model

$$\mathbf{y} = \underbrace{\beta_0 + \beta_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \ldots + \beta_p \mathbf{x}_p}_{\mathbf{z} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \quad \mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathrm{var}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$$

additive linear systematic component (linear predictor)

#### **Requirement:**

The model is **linear in the regression coefficients** and the error term.

#### In general:

As long as we can write the model as  $y_i = f(\mathbf{x}_i)^\top \boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon_i$  we have a linear model.

### The Multiple Linear Regression Model

$$\mathbf{y} = \underline{\beta_0 + \beta_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \ldots + \beta_p \mathbf{x}_p} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \qquad \mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathrm{var}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$$

additive linear systematic component (linear predictor)

#### **Requirement:**

The model is **linear in the regression coefficients** and the error term.

#### In general:

As long as we can write the model as  $y_i = f(\mathbf{x}_i)^\top \boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon_i$  we have a linear model.

#### Interpretation:

 $\beta_j$  is the expected change in y that is associated with an increase in  $x_j$  (or  $f(x_j)$ ) of 1 unit while all other covariates are kept constant.

 $\mathrm{height}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \mathrm{age}_i + \beta_2 \mathrm{sex}_i + \varepsilon_i$ 

#### Implied assumption:

Boys and girls grow equally fast.

⇒The regression lines for boys and girls are **parallel**.



### **Example: Child Growth**

The regression lines for boys and girls are **not parallel**.



The regression lines for boys and girls are **not parallel**.

 $\Rightarrow$  Age and sex **interact**.

Age **modifies** the effect of sex. Sex **modifies** the effect of age.



### **Interaction Terms**

To relax the assumption of independent effects, we can include **interaction terms** by adding new terms that are the **product of two (or more) covariates**:

 $\mathrm{height}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \mathrm{age}_i + \beta_2 \mathrm{sex}_i + \beta_3 \mathrm{age}_i \mathrm{sex}_i + \varepsilon_i$ 

### **Interaction Terms**

To relax the assumption of independent effects, we can include **interaction terms** by adding new terms that are the **product of two (or more) covariates**:

```
\text{height}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{age}_i + \beta_2 \text{sex}_i + \beta_3 \text{age}_i \text{sex}_i + \varepsilon_i
```

When the model includes an interaction term, **the interpretation** of the corresponding effects **changes**:

	eta	
(Intercept)	47.3	
age	7.6	
sex <sub>girl</sub>	3.6	
$age  imes sex_{girl}$	-1.6	

- At birth (age = 0) boys are, on average, 47.3 cm tall.
- At birth (age = 0) girls are 3.6 cm taller than boys.
- Boys grow 7.6 cm per year.
- Girls grow 7.6 1.6 = 6.0 cm per year.
- Boys grow 1.6 cm per year faster than girls.

⇒ We **cannot interpret** the effects of age and sex **separately** from each other.

### **Interaction: Visualization (1)**

Sex modifies the effect of age:

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Interaction: Visualization (2)**

Age modifies the effect of sex:

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\operatorname{height}_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}\operatorname{age}_{i} + \beta_{2}\operatorname{sex}_{i} + \beta_{3}\operatorname{kcal}_{i} + \beta_{4}\operatorname{age}_{i}\operatorname{sex}_{i} + \beta_{5}\operatorname{age}_{i}\operatorname{kcal}_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}$ 

 $\operatorname{height}_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}\operatorname{age}_{i} + \beta_{2}\operatorname{sex}_{i} + \beta_{3}\operatorname{kcal}_{i} + \beta_{4}\operatorname{age}_{i}\operatorname{sex}_{i} + \beta_{5}\operatorname{age}_{i}\operatorname{kcal}_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}$ 

Interpretation of the coefficients: (assuming dummy coding for sex)

•  $\beta_0$ : expected height of a boy (reference category) with age = 0 and kcal = 0

 $\operatorname{height}_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}\operatorname{age}_{i} + \beta_{2}\operatorname{sex}_{i} + \beta_{3}\operatorname{kcal}_{i} + \beta_{4}\operatorname{age}_{i}\operatorname{sex}_{i} + \beta_{5}\operatorname{age}_{i}\operatorname{kcal}_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}$ 

- $\beta_0$ : expected height of a boy (reference category) with age = 0 and kcal = 0
- $\beta_1$ : effect of age when kcal = 0 and sex = 0 (reference category)

 $\operatorname{height}_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}\operatorname{age}_{i} + \beta_{2}\operatorname{sex}_{i} + \beta_{3}\operatorname{kcal}_{i} + \beta_{4}\operatorname{age}_{i}\operatorname{sex}_{i} + \beta_{5}\operatorname{age}_{i}\operatorname{kcal}_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}$ 

- $\beta_0$ : expected height of a boy (reference category) with age = 0 and kcal = 0
- $\beta_1$ : effect of age when kcal = 0 and sex = 0 (reference category)
- $\beta_2$ : effect of sex when age = 0

 $\operatorname{height}_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}\operatorname{age}_{i} + \beta_{2}\operatorname{sex}_{i} + \beta_{3}\operatorname{kcal}_{i} + \beta_{4}\operatorname{age}_{i}\operatorname{sex}_{i} + \beta_{5}\operatorname{age}_{i}\operatorname{kcal}_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}$ 

- $\beta_0$ : expected height of a boy (reference category) with age = 0 and kcal = 0
- $\beta_1$ : effect of age when kcal = 0 and sex = 0 (reference category)
- $\beta_2$ : effect of sex when age = 0
- $\beta_3$ : effect of kcal when age = 0

 $\operatorname{height}_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}\operatorname{age}_{i} + \beta_{2}\operatorname{sex}_{i} + \beta_{3}\operatorname{kcal}_{i} + \beta_{4}\operatorname{age}_{i}\operatorname{sex}_{i} + \beta_{5}\operatorname{age}_{i}\operatorname{kcal}_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}$ 

- $\beta_0$ : expected height of a boy (reference category) with age = 0 and kcal = 0
- $\beta_1$ : effect of age when kcal = 0 and sex = 0 (reference category)
- $\beta_2$ : effect of sex when age = 0
- $\beta_3$ : effect of kcal when age = 0
- $\beta_4$ : change in the effect of age when sex = 1 vs when sex = 0, or change in the effect of sex when age is increase by 1 unit

 $\operatorname{height}_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}\operatorname{age}_{i} + \beta_{2}\operatorname{sex}_{i} + \beta_{3}\operatorname{kcal}_{i} + \beta_{4}\operatorname{age}_{i}\operatorname{sex}_{i} + \beta_{5}\operatorname{age}_{i}\operatorname{kcal}_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}$ 

#### Interpretation of the coefficients: (assuming dummy coding for sex)

- $\beta_0$ : expected height of a boy (reference category) with age = 0 and kcal = 0
- $\beta_1$ : effect of age when kcal = 0 and sex = 0 (reference category)
- $\beta_2$ : effect of sex when age = 0
- $\beta_3$ : effect of kcal when age = 0
- $\beta_4$ : change in the effect of age when sex = 1 vs when sex = 0, or change in the effect of sex when age is increase by 1 unit
- $\beta_5$ : change in the effect of age when kcal is increased by 1 unit, or change in the effect of kcal when age is increase by 1 unit

... and everything else remains constant.

Sex and kcal modify the effect of age:

— boy — girl

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

Age modifies the effect of kcal:

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

Kcal modifies the effect of age:

kcal: --- 2 ---- 2

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **Higher Order Interactions**

For example, a 3-way interaction between age, sex and kcal (and interactions between age and sex and age and kcal):

 $\beta_0 + \beta_1 age_i + \beta_2 sex_i + \beta_3 kcal_i + \beta_4 age_i sex_i + \beta_5 age_i kcal_i + \beta_6 age_i sex_i kcal_i$ 

#### Interpretation of the coefficients:

- Does the 3-way interaction influence the interpretation of  $\beta_4$  and  $\beta_5$ ?
- What is the interpretation of  $\beta_6$ ?

	age	sex	kcal	$eta_0$	$eta_1 \mathrm{age}$	ļ:	$\beta_2 \mathrm{sex}$		$eta_3$ kcal		$eta_4  ext{age set}$	c	$eta_5 \mathrm{age} \ \mathrm{kcal}$		$eta_6  ext{age sex kcal}$
scenario 1	0	0	0	$eta_0$											
scenario 2	1	0	0	$eta_0$ +	$eta_1$										
scenario 3	0	1	0	$eta_0$		+	$eta_2$								
scenario 4	0	0	1	$eta_0$				+	$eta_3$						
scenario 5	1	1	0	$eta_0$ +	$eta_1$	+	$eta_2$			+	$eta_4$				
scenario 6	1	0	1	$eta_0$ +	$eta_1$			+	$eta_3$			+	$eta_5$		
scenario 7	0	1	1	$eta_0$		+	$eta_2$	+	$eta_3$						
scenario 8	1	1	1	$eta_0$ +	$eta_1$	+	$eta_2$	+	$eta_3$	+	$eta_4$	+	$eta_5$	+	$eta_6$

	age	sex	kcal	$eta_0$	$eta_1 \mathrm{age}$		$\beta_2 \mathrm{sex}$		$eta_3$ kcal		$eta_4  ext{age se}$	x	$eta_5 \mathrm{age} \ \mathrm{kcal}$		$eta_6  ext{age sex kcal}$
scenario 1	0	0	0	$eta_0$											
scenario 2	1	0	0	$eta_0$ +	$eta_1$										
scenario 3	0	1	0	$eta_0$		+	$eta_2$								
scenario 4	0	0	1	$eta_0$				+	$eta_3$						
scenario 5	1	1	0	$eta_0$ +	$eta_1$	+	$eta_2$			+	$eta_4$				
scenario 6	1	0	1	$eta_0$ +	$eta_1$			+	$eta_3$			+	$eta_5$		
scenario 7	0	1	1	$eta_0$		+	$eta_2$	+	$eta_3$						
scenario 8	1	1	1	$eta_0$ +	$\beta_1$	+	$eta_2$	+	$eta_3$	+	$eta_4$	+	$eta_5$	+	$eta_6$

#### Effect of age when

- sex = 0 and kcal = 0 (scenario 2 vs 1):  $\beta_1$
- sex = 1 and kcal = 0 (scenario 5 vs 3):  $\beta_1 + \beta_4$
- sex = 0 and kcal = 1 (scenario 6 vs 4):  $\beta_1 + \beta_5$
- sex = 1 and kcal = 1 (scenario 8 vs 7):  $\beta_1 + \beta_4 + \beta_5 + \beta_6$

#### Effect of age when

- sex = 0 and kcal = 0 (scenario 2 vs 1):  $\beta_1$
- sex = 1 and kcal = 0 (scenario 5 vs 3):  $\beta_1 + \beta_4$
- sex = 0 and kcal = 1 (scenario 6 vs 4):  $\beta_1 + \beta_5$
- sex = 1 and kcal = 1 (scenario 8 vs 7):  $\beta_1 + \beta_4 + \beta_5 + \beta_6$
- ⇒ β<sub>4</sub>: change in the effect of age when sex = 1 vs sex = 0 (and kcal = 0)
   (age-sex interaction when kcal = 0)
   ⇒ β<sub>4</sub> + β<sub>6</sub>: change in the effect of age when sex = 1 vs sex = 0 (and kcal = 1)
   (age-sex interaction when kcal = 1)

#### Effect of age when

- sex = 0 and kcal = 0 (scenario 2 vs 1):  $\beta_1$
- sex = 1 and kcal = 0 (scenario 5 vs 3):  $\beta_1 + \beta_4$
- sex = 0 and kcal = 1 (scenario 6 vs 4):  $\beta_1 + \beta_5$
- sex = 1 and kcal = 1 (scenario 8 vs 7):  $\beta_1 + \beta_4 + \beta_5 + \beta_6$
- ⇒ β<sub>4</sub>: change in the effect of age when sex = 1 vs sex = 0 (and kcal = 0)
   (age-sex interaction when kcal = 0)
   ⇒ β<sub>4</sub> + β<sub>6</sub>: change in the effect of age when sex = 1 vs sex = 0 (and kcal = 1)
   (age-sex interaction when kcal = 1)

 $\Rightarrow \beta_6$ : change in age-sex interaction when kcal is increased by one unit

#### Effect of age when

- sex = 0 and kcal = 0 (scenario 2 vs 1):  $\beta_1$
- sex = 1 and kcal = 0 (scenario 5 vs 3):  $\beta_1 + \beta_4$
- sex = 0 and kcal = 1 (scenario 6 vs 4):  $\beta_1 + \beta_5$
- sex = 1 and kcal = 1 (scenario 8 vs 7):  $\beta_1 + \beta_4 + \beta_5 + \beta_6$
- $\Rightarrow \beta_5$ : change in effect of age when kcal = 1 vs kcal = 0 (and sex = 0)

(age-kcal interaction when sex = 0)

 $\Rightarrow \beta_5 + \beta_6$ : change in effect of age when kcal = 1 vs kcal = 0 (and sex = 1) (age-kcal interaction when sex = 1)

#### Effect of age when

- sex = 0 and kcal = 0 (scenario 2 vs 1):  $\beta_1$
- sex = 1 and kcal = 0 (scenario 5 vs 3):  $\beta_1 + \beta_4$
- sex = 0 and kcal = 1 (scenario 6 vs 4):  $\beta_1 + \beta_5$
- sex = 1 and kcal = 1 (scenario 8 vs 7):  $\beta_1 + \beta_4 + \beta_5 + \beta_6$
- $\Rightarrow \beta_5 : \text{change in effect of age when kcal} = 1 \text{ vs kcal} = 0 \text{ (and sex = 0)}$ (age-kcal interaction when sex = 0)  $\Rightarrow \beta_5 + \beta_6 : \text{change in effect of age when kcal} = 1 \text{ vs kcal} = 0 \text{ (and sex = 1)}$

(age-kcal interaction when sex = 1)

 $\Rightarrow \beta_6$ : change in age-kcal interaction when sex = 1 vs sex = 0

	age	sex	kcal	$eta_0$	$eta_1 \mathrm{age}$	$eta_2$	sex	$eta_3$ kcal		$eta_4  ext{age sex}$		$eta_5 \mathrm{age\ kcal}$	,	$eta_6 \mathrm{age\ sex\ kcal}$
scenario 1	0	0	0	$eta_0$										
scenario 2	1	0	0	$eta_0$ +	$\beta_1$									
scenario 3	0	1	0	$eta_0$		$+$ $\mu$	$eta_2$							
scenario 4	0	0	1	$eta_0$			+	- $eta_3$						
scenario 5	1	1	0	$eta_0$ +	$\beta_1$	$+$ $\mu$	$eta_2$		+	$eta_4$				
scenario 6	1	0	1	$eta_0$ +	$\beta_1$		+	- $eta_3$			+	$eta_5$		
scenario 7	0	1	1	$eta_0$		$+$ $\mu$	$\beta_2$ +	- $eta_3$						
scenario 8	1	1	1	$eta_0$ +	$\beta_1$	$+$ $\mu$	$\beta_2$ +	- $eta_3$	+	$eta_4$	+	$eta_5$	+	$eta_6$

#### Effect of sex when

- age = 0 and kcal = 0 (scenario 3 vs 1):  $\beta_2$
- age = 1 and kcal = 0 (scenario 5 vs 2):  $\beta_2 + \beta_4$
- age = 0 and kcal = 1 (scenario 7 vs 4):  $\beta_2$
- age = 1 and kcal = 1 (scenario 8 vs 6):  $\beta_2 + \beta_4 + \beta_6$

#### Effect of sex when

- age = 0 and kcal = 0 (scenario 3 vs 1):  $\beta_2$
- age = 1 and kcal = 0 (scenario 5 vs 2):  $\beta_2 + \beta_4$
- age = 0 and kcal = 1 (scenario 7 vs 4):  $\beta_2$
- age = 1 and kcal = 1 (scenario 8 vs 6):  $\beta_2 + \beta_4 + \beta_6$
- $\Rightarrow \beta_4$ : change in effect of sex when age = 1 vs age = 0 (and kcal = 0)

(sex-age interaction when kcal = 0)

 $\Rightarrow \beta_4 + \beta_6$ : change in effect of sex when age = 1 vs age = 0 (and kcal = 1) (sex-age interaction when kcal = 1)

#### Effect of sex when

- age = 0 and kcal = 0 (scenario 3 vs 1):  $\beta_2$
- age = 1 and kcal = 0 (scenario 5 vs 2):  $\beta_2 + \beta_4$
- age = 0 and kcal = 1 (scenario 7 vs 4):  $\beta_2$
- age = 1 and kcal = 1 (scenario 8 vs 6):  $\beta_2 + \beta_4 + \beta_6$
- $\Rightarrow \beta_4 : \text{change in effect of sex when age = 1 vs age = 0 (and kcal = 0)}$ (sex-age interaction when kcal = 0)  $\Rightarrow \beta_4 + \beta_6 : \text{change in effect of sex when age = 1 vs age = 0 (and kcal = 1)}$ 
  - (sex-age interaction when kcal = 1)

 $\Rightarrow \beta_6$ : change in sex-age interaction when kcal is increased by one unit

#### Effect of sex when

```
age = 0 and kcal = 0 (scenario 3 vs 1): \beta_2
```

```
age = 1 and kcal = 0 (scenario 5 vs 2): \beta_2 + \beta_4
```

age = 0 and kcal = 1 (scenario 7 vs 4):  $\beta_2$ 

age = 1 and kcal = 1 (scenario 8 vs 6):  $\beta_2 + \beta_4 + \beta_6$ 

 $\Rightarrow \beta_6$ : change in effect of sex when kcal = 1 vs kcal = 0 (and age = 1) (sex-kcal interaction when age = 1)

Since there is no sex-kcal interaction when age = 0:

 $\Rightarrow \beta_6$ : change in sex-kcal interaction when age is increased by one unit

The interpretation with respect to kcal is analogue to the interpretation with respect to sex.

The interpretation with respect to kcal is analogue to the interpretation with respect to sex.

#### Interpretation of the 3-way interaction $\beta_6$ :

- Change in the age-sex interaction when kcal is increased by 1 unit, or
- change in the age-kcal interaction when sex is 1 vs 0, or
- change in the effect of sex when kcal is increased by 1 unit and age  $\neq$  0, or
- change in the sex-kcal interaction when age is increased by 1 unit.

The interpretation with respect to kcal is analogue to the interpretation with respect to sex.

#### Interpretation of the 3-way interaction $\beta_6$ :

- Change in the age-sex interaction when kcal is increased by 1 unit, or
- change in the age-kcal interaction when sex is 1 vs 0, or
- change in the effect of sex when kcal is increased by 1 unit and age  $\neq$  0, or
- change in the sex-kcal interaction when age is increased by 1 unit.

The 2-way interactions now have to be interpreted with respect to the value of the third variable.

### **Higher Order Interactions: Visualization**

- Sex and age modify the effect of kcal.
- The interaction between sex and kcal is modified by age.

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Interactions

Including interactions **increases the flexibility** of the model.

But:

- The **interpretation** of the parameters can get **very complicated** when
  - variables are involved in **multiple interaction terms**
  - and for higher-level interactions.
- We need **sufficient sample size** to get reasonably precise parameter estimates.
  - Interactions increase the number of parameters.
  - Interaction effects are usually smaller than the main effects.

**Visualization** via effect plots can facilitate the interpretation.

Remember:

The effects of variables involved in interaction terms cannot be interpreted independent of each other!

Specification directly via the model formula:

- interaction: a:b
- interaction and main effects: a\*b (same as a + b + a:b)

For example
fit1 <- lm(height ~ age \* sex + kcal, data = child)
coef(fit1)
## (Intercept) age sexgirl kcal age:sexgirl
## 47,3326 7,5928 3,5590 -0,3737 -1,6284</pre>

nteraction with multiple variables:								
fit2a <- lm(heigh	nt ~ age * (	sex + kcal)	, data = child)					
s the same as								
fit2b <- lm(heigh	nt ~ age * s	ex + age * 1	kcal, data = child	)				
coef(fit2a)								
## (Intercept) ## 47.29520	age 7.59695	sexgirl 3.56224	kcal age:sex -0.08005 -1.6	girl age:kcal 2874 -0.03703				
coef(fit2b)								
## (Intercept) ## 47.29520	age 7.59695	sexgirl 3.56224	kcal age:sex -0.08005 -1.6	girl age:kcal 2874 -0.03703				

Higher order interactions can be specified using the "^" symbol:

fit3a <- lm(height ~ (age + sex + kcal)^3, data = child)</pre>

#### which is equivalent to

fit3b <- lm(height ~ age \* sex \* kcal, data = child)</pre>

coef(fit3a)

##	(Intercept)	age	sexgirl	kcal
##	47.39104	7.58540	3.39474	-0.76393
##	age:sexgirl	age:kcal	<pre>sexgirl:kcal</pre>	<pre>age:sexgirl:kcal</pre>
##	-1.60785	0.07809	1.25691	-0.22077

coef(fit3b)

##	(Intercept)	age	sexgirl	kcal
##	47.39104	7.58540	3.39474	-0.76393
##	age:sexgirl	age:kcal	<pre>sexgirl:kcal</pre>	<pre>age:sexgirl:kcal</pre>
##	-1.60785	0.07809	1.25691	-0.22077

Remember: we can also remove terms from the model:

fit3c <- lm(height ~ (age + sex + kcal)^3 - sex:kcal, data = child)
coef(fit3c)</pre>

##	(Intercept)	age	sexgirl	kcal
##	47.30875	7.59390	3.53907	-0.06275
##	age:sexgirl	age:kcal	<pre>age:sexgirl:kcal</pre>	
##	-1.62253	0.01287	-0.10274	

Alternatively:

fit3d <- lm(height ~ age\*(sex + kcal) + age:sex:kcal, data = child)
coef(fit3d)</pre>

##	(Intercept)	age	sexgirl	kcal
##	47.30875	7.59390	3.53907	-0.06275
##	age:sexgirl	age:kcal	age:sexgirl:kcal	
##	-1.62253	0.01287	-0.10274	